

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/244,3	323 02/03	/99 M	ULLEDER		E	32287-PCT/L	
			mer had are are a parameter and are	\neg	EXAMINER		
BAKER &	POTTS:		IM62/0208		TENTONI.		
30 ROCKE	FELLER PLA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YURF	NY 10112-	0228			1732	`,	
					DATE MAILED:	•	
						02/08/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	09/244323	MULLEDER et al		
 Office Action Summary 	Examiner	1	Group Art Unit	
	LEO B. TE	NTONI	1732	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	orrespondence address	
Period for Reply	ONE (I)	·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		-) FROM THE MAILING DATE	i
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, experience to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	y within the statutory minim cpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	ium of thirty (30) n the mailing date	days will be considered timely. e of this communication .	;
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 10		is /are p	pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	withdrawn from consideration	
☐ Claim(s)	. •	is/are a	allowed.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are r	rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are	objected to.	
⊠ Claim(s) I - IO		are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers		-		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	☐ disapprove	d.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	a to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	05 II O O 0 44 O/ \	(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. 	- , -			
□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			·	
☐ received in this national stage application from the Intern				
*Certified copies not received:			·	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No((s) 🗆 🗆	nterview Sumr	mary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application, PTO-1	52
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other		
Office	Action Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/244,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4 and 10, drawn to a process of making cellulose fiber, classified in class 264, subclass 187.
 - II. Claim 5, drawn to a cellulose composition, classified in class 106, subclass 200.3.
 - III. Claims 6-9, drawn to a cellulose fiber, classified in class 428, subclass 364.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another and materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in another and materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in another and materially different process such as a process of making a film.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as a process

-Application/Control Number: 09/244,323 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

including the steps of extrudingto form a film and then longitudinally slitting the film to form fibers.

- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different functions and effects principally because invention II is a cellulose solution and invention III is cellulose fibers and the product of invention III finds use in the fields of apparel manufacture and medicine.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Marta Delsignore, applicant's representative, on February 1, 2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

, Application/Control Number: 09/244,323

Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo Tentoni whose telephone number is (703) 308-3834.

Leo Tentoni

February 2, 2000

LEO B. TENTONI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 18732

Leo B. Tentoni

ı